

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/677,021	09/29/2000		Jasbir S. Seehra	GI-5324 PI	1625
	25291	7590	01/15/2003			
	WYETH PATENT LAW GROUP FIVE GIRALDA FARMS				EXAMINER	
					GERSTL,	GERSTL, ROBERT
	MADISON, NJ 07940		0		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
					1626 DATE MAILED: 01/15/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/677,021	SEEHRA ET AL.				
AUVISUI y AUUUII	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Gerstl	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 09 December 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if simely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>09 December 2002</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☑ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>The proviso to M1 a). The marked up copy did not hilite the change</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	·					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:		1 /				
Claim(s) rejected:		n /				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		royad hi ha Evadinal				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) O Other:						
		Robert Gerstl Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1626				